

REMARKS

By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended, and claims 7, 8 and 9 have been added, leaving claims 1-9 now pending in this application, and these are presented to the Examiner for consideration in view of the following remarks.

Claim 1 has been amended to include information regarding continuing education courses, seminars, conferences, conventions or other meetings offering CPE credit. In other words, claim 1 has been amended to include information regarding more traditional meetings or seminars of the type associated with CPE credit. This clearly distinguishes over Meyer et al., which addresses only internet-based learning, and does not include these traditional meetings or seminars. Anticipation may be established only when a single prior art reference discloses, expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of a claimed invention. RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, 730 F.2d 1440, 1444, 221 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Moreover, anticipation requires the presence of all elements of a claimed invention as arranged in the claim, such that a disclosure "that 'almost' meets that standard does not 'anticipate'." Connell v. Sears, Roebuck Co., 722 F.2d 1542, 1548, 220 USPQ 193, 198 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Based upon the foregoing amendments and comments, Applicant believes all claims are in condition for allowance. Questions regarding this application may be directed to the undersigned attorney by telephone, facsimile or electronic mail.

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_

John G. Posal  
Reg. No. 37,424

Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,  
Anderson & Citkowski, PC

PO Box 7021

Troy, MI 48007-7021

(734) 913-9300 FAX (734) 913-6007

Email: [jposa@patlaw.com](mailto:jposa@patlaw.com)

Dated: February 28, 2005